Case 1:20-cv-05019-LAK Document 65 Filed 09/14/21 Page 1 of 2

Case 1:20-cv-05019-LAK Document 62 Filed 09/13/21 Page 1 of 2

KAPLAN HECKER & FINK LLP

USIC SDNY
DOCUMENT
ELECTRONICALLY FILED
DOC#:
DATE FILED: 1-12-2

350 FIFTH AVENUE | 63RD FLOOR

NEW YORK, NEW YORK 10118

TEL (212) 763-0883 | FAX (212) 564-0883

WWW.KAPLANHECKER.COM

DIRECT DIAL 212.763.0883
DIRECT EMAIL rkaplan@kaplanhecker.com

September 13, 2021

VIA ECF

The Honorable Lewis A. Kaplan United States District Court Southern District of New York Daniel Patrick Moynihan United States Courthouse 500 Pearl Street New York, NY 10007

Re: Doe v. Columbia University, 1:20-cv-05019 (LAK) (S.D.N.Y.)

Dear Judge Kaplan:

We represent Defendant The Trustees of Columbia University in the City of New York ("Columbia") in the above-captioned action. Pursuant to Your Honor's Individual Practices, Columbia moves to file with redactions the exhibits to its motion to dismiss the amended complaint. In compliance with Your Honor's Individual Practices, the Court's standing order No. 19-MC-00583, and the Court's Electronic Case Filing Rules & Instructions, Columbia is publicly filing the exhibits with the proposed redactions and filing under seal unredacted versions with the proposed redactions highlighted.

The exhibits to Columbia's motion to dismiss the amended complaint include or could otherwise reveal Plaintiff's and Jane Roe's identities. On November 6, 2020, the Court entered an order in this action allowing the parties to use pseudonyms for both Plaintiff John Doe and the non-party student complainant, Jane Roe ("Pseudonym Order," ECF 28). And on August 2, 2021, the Court granted Plaintiff's unopposed motion to file redacted exhibits to Plaintiff's amended complaint ("Redaction Order," ECF 48). Accordingly, consistent with the Pseudonym and Redaction Orders already entered by this Court, Columbia seeks to redact portions of Exhibits B, C, and D being filed in conjunction with Columbia's motion to dismiss the amended complaint. The proposed redactions are consistent with the redactions in Plaintiff's Exhibits A, B, and C attached to his amended complaint, which were already approved by this Court. See ECF 48, 51-1, 51-2, 51-3.

Case 1:20-cv-05019-LAK Document 65 Filed 09/14/21 Page 2 of 2

Case 1:20-cv-05019-LAK Document 62 Filed 09/13/21 Page 2 of 2

KAPLAN HECKER & FINK LLP

The parties have conferred on the proposed redactions and Plaintiff agrees to the specific redactions being proposed.

Respectfully submitted,

2

Roberta A. Kaplan

cc: Counsel of Record

SO ORDERE

LEWIS A. KAPLA